arXiv:hep-ph/9401240v3 3 Feb 1994

OUTP-93-34P

# Phenomenological implications from moduli fields in strings

A. de la Macorra[1]

*Department of Physics, University of Oxford,*
*1 Keble Rd, Oxford OX1 3NP*

## Abstract

We study some phenomenological consequences of having moduli fields with large vacuum expectation values (v.e.v.). The v.e.vs of the moduli are dynamically determined once supersymmetry is broken in 4D string theories. The study constraints the possible Yukawa interactions and modular weights for matter fields.

---

[1] From 1 January 1994 E-mail: macorra@teorica0.ifisicacu.unam.mx

String theory provides with the only possibility, up till now, of unifying all interactions [1]. As formulated in the critical dimensions it has only one free parameter which is taken as the Planck mass. But once it is compactified to four dimensions much of its uniqueness is lost because there are a great number of consistent string vacua [2]-[9]. Nevertheless it is possible to study general 4D string models by concentrating on properties shared by all models and consider the model dependent quantities as free parameters.

One of the generic features of 4D string vacua is the existence of dilaton and moduli fields. The dilaton field gives the gauge coupling constant at the string scale while the moduli parameterizes the geometry and complex structure of the compactified dimensions. All kinetic terms of the chiral matter fields are not canonical but have a $\sigma$-like structure and are moduli dependent.

Another generic property is the invariance of the effective Lagrangian under duality symmetry [10]. Although it has only been proved to be exact to all orders in perturbation theory [11] one expects that non-perturbative effects will respect duality. This symmetry is intimately related to the contribution of the infinite number of Kaluza-Klein modes, always present in string theory. These modes become relevant in the low energy effective theory for small values of the compactified radius given by the real part of the (1,1) moduli. In the simplest case, the moduli fields transform under duality as an element of the SL(2,Z) group [12, 13] and in this paper we will only consider those moduli. If the standard model derives from 4D string theory its parameters are moduli dependent and their value is determined once their functional dependence and vacuum expectation value (v.e.v.) of these fields is known. Duality symmetry has been very useful in constraining the form of this effective Lagrangian and determining the gauge coupling constant, Yukawa couplings and the possible string vacua. In particular, the Yukawa couplings have been explicitly calculated for orbifold compactifications and some Calabi-Yau manifolds [14]. In any concrete example the expression of the Yukawa couplings can be determined but in practice we still don't know how to get the standard model from the 4D string models. It is therefore useful to work in a model independent way to study and reduce the number of phenomenological viable string vacua. The Yukawa couplings are given, in general, as modular functions of the moduli fields. Since the Yukawa couplings are moduli dependent it is possible to have a fifth force from the interaction between the moduli and ordinary quarks and leptons. This interaction could be experimentally detected only if the mass of the exchanged particle is small enough to render a long range interaction. The mass of the the real part of the moduli $T_i$ is of order of the gravitino mass while the imaginary part of $T_i$ is exponentially suppressed with respect to the gravitino mass. The exponent is proportional to the v.e.v. of the real part of $T_i$ and therefore if it has a large v.e.v. a fifth force could be observable.

The values of the moduli and dilaton v.e.v. are only fixed after supersymmetry is broken. One expects supersymmetry to be broken by a gaugino condensate [15] in the hidden sector and transmitted to the visible sector (where the usual quarks and leptons live) via gravity. At tree level the v.e.v. of the moduli is of order one [16, 17] and in such a case the mass of the imaginary part of $T_i$ is comparable to the gravitino mass which is expected to be of the order of the electroweak scale. On the other hand, once loop corrections of the strong binding effects, which lead to gaugino condensation, are taken into account supersymmetry is broken with a single gaugino condensate and the v.e.v. of some moduli is much larger [18, 19]. This result is also welcome because it renders an unification scale, which is moduli dependent, of the order of $10^{16}\,GeV$ and consistent with the unification of the standard model gauge coupling constants [20, 21].

Before discussing the Yukawa interactions we present the low energy 4D string model. In order to study the breaking of SUSY one determines the effective potential and its vacuum. The effective interaction involving the gaugino bilinear can be obtained by demanding the complete Lagrangian to be anomaly free under the R-symmetry under which the gauginos transform non-trivially. The low energy degrees of freedom are then the dilaton field $S$, moduli fields $T_i$, chiral matter fields $\varphi_i$, gauge fields and the Goldstone mode $\Phi$ associated with the spontaneously broken R-symmetry plus their supersymmetric partners. In orbifold compactification there are always three diagonal moduli whose real parts represent the size of the compactified complex plane and here we will only consider these moduli. The 4D string model is given by an N=1 supergravity theory and it is specified once the Kahler potential $G = K + ln\frac{1}{4}|W|^2$ and the gauge kinetic function $f$ are given. The Kahler potential, superpotential and gauge kinetic functions are [22]-[27]

$$K = -ln\left(S + \bar{S} + 2\Sigma_i(k_0\delta^i_{GS} - b'^i_0)lnT_{ri}\right) - \Sigma_i ln(T_{ri}) + K^i_i|\varphi_i|^2, \tag{1}$$

$$W_0 = \Pi_i\eta^{-2}(T_i)\ \Phi\ +\ W_m \tag{2}$$

and [18]

$$f = f_0 + \frac{2}{3}b_0 ln(\Phi) \tag{3}$$

respectively, where $T_r \equiv T + \bar{T}$ and $k_0$ is the level of the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. $W_m$ is the superpotential for the chiral matter superfields and the gauge kinetic function at the string scale is given by [28]-[30],[31]

$$f_0 = S + 2\Sigma_i(b'^i_0 - k_0\delta^i_{GS})ln\left[\eta(T_i)^2\right] \tag{4}$$

where $\eta$ is the Dedekind-eta function ($\eta(T) = q^{1/24}\Pi^{\infty}_{n=1}(1-q^n), q = e^{-2\pi T}$) and $b_0$ the one-loop beta function for the hidden gauge group. The coefficient $\delta_{GS}$ is the Green-Schwarz term needed to cancel the gauge independent part of the target modular anomaly and $b'^i_0 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}(C(G_0) - \Sigma_{R_0}h_{R_0}T(R_0)(1 + 2n^i_{R_a}))$ where $n^i$ is the modular weight for a chiral matter superfield with respect to the $i$-moduli, $C(G_0)$ the quadratic Casimir operator and $h_{R_0}$ the number of chiral fields in a representation $R_0$ for the hidden sector gauge group. Through the equation of motion of the auxiliary field of $\Phi$, the scalar component is given in terms of the gaugino bilinear of the hidden sector [18]

$$\phi = \frac{e^{-K/2}\xi}{2\Pi_i\eta^{-2}(T_i)}\,\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L$$

with $\xi = 2b_0/3$. The model described in eqs.(1-3) is anomaly free and duality invariant. The duality transformation for the fields read

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \rightarrow & S + 2\Sigma_i(k_0\delta^i_{GS} - b'^i_0)ln(icT_i + d), \\ T_i & \rightarrow & \dfrac{aT_i - ib}{icT_i + d}, \\ \phi & \rightarrow & \phi, \end{array} \tag{5}$$

with $a, b, c, d\,\epsilon\,Z$ and $ad - bc = 1$. This model generates a four-Gaugino interaction and reproduces the tree level scalar potential used by other parameterizations of gaugino condensate [17, 27, 33]. It also permits the determination of the radiative corrections and use of NJL technique [36] to extract non-perturbative information in the regime of strong coupling. After minimizing the complete scalar potential (tree level plus one-loop potential), the vacuum structure is quite different than the tree level one, thus permitting us to find a stable solution for the dilaton with the inclusion of a single gaugino condensate. The value of the v.e.v.'s of the dilaton and moduli fields give a good prediction of the fine structure constant at the unification scale and unification scale allowing for minimal string unification to work.

In supergravity theory the tree level scalar potential is given by [32]

$$V_0 = h_i(G^{-1})^i_j h^j - 3m^2_{3/2} \tag{6}$$

where the auxiliary fields are $h_i = -e^{G/2}G_i + \frac{1}{4}f_i\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L$. For the choice of Kahler potential and gauge kinetic function given in eqs(1-3) one has

$$V_0 = e^G\, B_0 = \frac{1}{4}e^K \Pi_i|\eta(T_i)|^{-4}\ |\phi|^2\, B_0 \tag{7}$$

with

$$B_0 = (1+\frac{Y}{\xi})^2 + \Sigma_i \frac{Y}{Y+a_i}(1-\frac{a_i}{\xi})^2\, \frac{T_{ri}^2}{4\pi^2}|\hat{G}_2(T_i)|^2 - 3, \tag{8}$$

$a_i = 2(k_a\delta^i_{GS} - b'^i_a)$ and $Y = S + \bar{S} + 2\Sigma_i(k_0\delta^i_{GS} - b'^i_0)lnT_{ri}$ gives the gauge coupling constant at the unification scale. The gravitino mass is given by

$$m_{3/2}^2 = \frac{1}{4}e^K \Pi_i|\eta(T_i)|^{-4}\ |\phi|^2. \tag{9}$$

For a fixed value of $S$ the extremum solution to eq.(7) for the moduli fields gives a v.e.v. of $< T_i > \simeq 1.2$ [16, 17]. This value is independent of $S$ and yields an unification scale of order of the string scale much larger than the required value. Furthermore, there is no stable solution in the dilaton direction, it is a runaway potential for $S \to \infty$ and it is unbounded from below for $S \to 0$. This is not surprising because we have not included the contribution from loop corrections of the strong coupling constant responsible for the gaugino binding. These contributions can be calculated using the Coleman-Weinberg one-loop potential $V_1$ and it is given by [34, 35],

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} Str \int d^2p\, p^2 ln(p^2 + M^2) \tag{10}$$

where $M^2$ represents the square mass matrices and $Str$ the supertrace. By solving the mass gap equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}(V_0 + V_1) = 0$ one is effectively summing an infinite number of gaugino bubbles.

The extremum equations for the dilaton and moduli fields yield the constraint [19]

$$B_0 = \frac{9}{2\gamma b_0^2}\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_0 - 1}{3\alpha_0 - 1}\,\epsilon\right)^{-1} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\epsilon \equiv x_g ln(x_g)|_{min} = \frac{4b_0}{Y}(3\alpha_0 - 1) \tag{12}$$

where $B_0$ is given in eq.(8), $x_g = \frac{m_g^2}{\Lambda_c^2}$ with $m_g^2 = m_{3/2}^2\frac{\xi^2 B_0^2}{4(Ref)^2}$ the gaugino mass square and $\Lambda_c$ the condensation scale given by

$$\Lambda_c = \Lambda_{gut}\, e^{-k_0 Y/4b_0} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{gut} = M_s(\Pi_i T_{ri}|\eta(T_{ri})|^4)^{\alpha_0^i/2} \tag{14}$$

the unification scale. The coefficient $\alpha_0$ is given by

$$\alpha_0^i \equiv \frac{\delta^i_{GS}k_0 - b'^i_0}{b_0} \tag{15}$$

where the $i$-index refers to the moduli $T_i$. The extremum solutions eqs.(11) and (12) are obtained once $\phi$ has been integrated out ($\phi = \Lambda_c^3$). As a condition of minimization for the moduli fields one gets that the extremum equations are satisfied if they either take the dual invariant values ($< T >= 1, e^{i\pi/6}$) or they take a common "large" value (i.e. $< T_i >=< T_j >$). For those moduli $T_i$ that take a "large" v.e.v. the corresponding $\alpha_0^i$ parameter defined in eq.(15) must be the same, i.e. $\alpha_0^i = \alpha_0^j$.

After eliminating $\phi$, the gravitino mass becomes

$$m_{3/2}^2 = \frac{1}{4Y}\, M_s^6 \Pi_i(T_{ri}|\eta(T_i)|^4)^{3\alpha_0^i - 1}\, e^{-3Y/2b_0} \tag{16}$$

and

$$x_g = (\frac{b_0}{6})^2 \frac{B_0^2}{Y} \Pi_i (T_{ri} |\eta(T_i)|^4)^{\alpha_0^i - 1} e^{-Y/2b_0}. \tag{17}$$

From eqs.(11) and (12) it follows that for reasonable solutions the dominant term in $B_0$ is given by the contribution from v.e.v. of the auxiliary field of the dilaton $h_s$ and one can approximate $B_0 \simeq (\frac{3Y}{2b_0})^2$. The v.e.v. of $Y$ and $T_i$ are then given in terms of the dimension of the hidden gauge group, its one-loop N=1 $\beta$-function coefficient and $\alpha$ by

$$Y \simeq 8\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_g} \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_0 - 1}{3\alpha_0 - 1}\, \epsilon\right)^{-1}}, \tag{18}$$

$$\Pi_i T_{ri} |\eta(T_i)|^4 = \frac{\xi^3 B_0^3 m_{3/2}}{32\, Y\, x_g^{3/2}}. \tag{19}$$

Eq.(19) is obtained from eqs.(16) and (17) and the sum is over all moduli that acquire a v.e.v. different from the dual points. To leading order the v.e.v of the moduli is

$$\Sigma_i (1 - \alpha_{0i}) T_{ri} = \frac{3Y}{\pi b_0}. \tag{20}$$

If the gauge group is broken down from $E_8$ to a lower rank group such as $SU(N)$ with $5 \leq N \leq 9$, as can be easily done by compactifying on an orbifold with Wilson lines a large hierarchy can be obtained with only one gaugino condensate [19].

Having determined the vacuum we now study the Yukawa interactions. The functional dependence of the Yukawa couplings upon the moduli can be explicitly calculated for specific orbifolds [14], but a generic and useful way to determine these couplings is to use target space modular invariance. The Kahler potential given in eq.(1)

$$G = K_0 + K_i^i |\varphi_i|^2 + ln \frac{1}{4} |W|^2 \tag{21}$$

must be duality invariant. $K_0$ is the contribution from the dilaton and moduli fields (cf. eq.(1)) and [9]

$$\begin{aligned} K_i^i &= \Pi_j\, d_j\, T_{rj}^{n^{ij}}, & (22) \\ W &= W_0 + W_m & (23) \end{aligned}$$

where $d_j$ is a constant (which is usually one), $W_0$ is given in eq.(2) and $W_m$ is the chiral superpotential. Modular invariance is achieved if the chiral superfield $\varphi_i$ and superpotential transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &\rightarrow (icT + d)_j^{n^{ij}} \varphi_i, & (24) \\ W &\rightarrow \Pi_i \frac{W}{(icT + d)_i} & (25) \end{aligned}$$

where $n^{ij}$ is the modular weight of the chiral superfield $\varphi_i$ with respect to the $T_j$ moduli and the superpotential transform as a modular function with weight -1 for each moduli.

For generic abelian $Z_N$ and $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds, the modular weights of the chiral superfields vary in an absolute range of $-9 < n < 4$ depending on which gauge group and Kac-Moody level they correspond [13]. Knowing the transformation properties of the chiral matter fields one can easily derive the transformation property of the Yukawa couplings $Y_{ijk}$ of the trilinear superpotential $W_3 = Y_{ijk} \varphi_i \varphi_j \varphi_k$ and it is given by [37, 38]

$$Y_{ijk} \rightarrow \Pi_p (icT + d)_p^{-(1 + n_{pi} + n_{pj} + n_{pk})}\, Y_{ijk} \tag{26}$$

where $n_{pi}$ is the modular weight of $\varphi_i$ with respect to $T_{rp}$.

As an application of this results let us consider a possible light scalar fields. Such a field would be phenomenologically important because if it couples to ordinary matter, a fifth force mediated by the exchange of this particle will be generated which may compete with gravity. The range of the interaction is inversely proportional to the mass of the exchanged particle. Only if it has a small mass would the range of this interaction be long enough to be experimentally detected. A good candidate for a light scalar field is the imaginary part of the moduli $T_i$, $ImT$, whose corresponding real part gets a "large" v.e.v., because its mass is exponentially suppressed relative to the gravitino mass. Using the full potential $(V_0 + V_1)$ the mass for this field can be calculated (we have taken into account the fact that $ImT$ does not have canonical kinetic term) and it is

$$m_{ImT} = 2\pi^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\, T_r^2\, e^{-\pi T_r/2}\, m_{3/2}. \tag{27}$$

Clearly, a small mass requires a large v.e.v. for $T_r$. Using the example presented in [19, 39] for an $SU(6)$ gauge group with $\beta_0 = 15/16\pi^2$ which gives a good prediction of the gauge coupling constant at the unification scale ($g_{gut}^{-2} = 2.1$) and unification scale ($\simeq 10^{16}\, GeV$), the v.e.v. of the moduli fields are $T_r = 44.5,\ 24.2,\ 17.3$ for $n_l = 1, 2, 3$ respectively ($n_l$ counts the number of moduli with large v.e.v.). The mass in eq.(27) is $m_{ImT} = 2\times 10^{-24}$, $2.4\times 10^{-11}$, $4.1\times 10^{-7}\, GeV$. Therefore a long range fifth force is only possible for $n_l = 1$ since for $n_l = 2, 3$ the range $r \leq 8\times 10^{-4}\, cm$ is too small to be experimentally detected.

The interaction between $ImT$ and matter fields is given by the Yukawa interaction

$$L_Y = \frac{1}{2} e^{K/2}\, h_{ijk} \varphi_i \bar{\chi}_j \chi_k \tag{28}$$

where $\chi_i$ is the fermion component of the $\varphi_i$ superfield and we have used the same symbol for the superfield and its scalar component. Without going into any specific orbifold example, one can deduce the functional dependence of the Yukawa couplings on the moduli, up to a modular invariant function, by simply imposing duality invariance. Using the duality transformation in eq.(26) one has

$$h_{ijk} = \Pi_p\, \eta(T_p)^{-2(1+n_{pi}+n_{pj}+n_{pk})}. \tag{29}$$

To compare the strength of the Yukawa interaction relative to gravity, we take the two fermion fields in eq.(28) to be quarks while the scalar one we take as the Higgs doublet. The relative strength is then given by

$$\frac{G_5}{G_N} = \frac{|\tilde{h}_{ijk} < H > |^2}{M_n^2} \tag{30}$$

where $\tilde{h}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} e^{K/2} \sqrt{(K^{-1})_i^i (K^{-1})_j^j (K^{-1})_k^k}\; h_{ijk}$ is the normalized Yukawa coupling$^{1)}$ and $M_n$ the nucleon mass. Taking $< H >= 300\, GeV$ and $M_n = 1\, GeV$, one finds an upper limit for the allowed Yukawa couplings

$$\tilde{h}_{ijk} \leq 10^{-4}, \tag{31}$$

in order not to contradict the present experimental limit $G_5/G_N \leq 10^{-3}$ [40]. Of course eq.(31) only restricts those Yukawa couplings that depend on the light $ImT$ and in this case the modular weights of the chiral matter fields are constrained. Defining $\delta_i = T_{ri} |\eta(T_i)|^4$ the normalized Yukawa coupling can be written as

$$|\tilde{h}_{ijk}| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{Y}}\, \Pi_p \delta_p^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+n_{pi}+n_{pj}+n_{pk})} \tag{32}$$

---

$^{1)}$ $\tilde{Y}$ is given at the compactification scale and should be run down to the electroweak scale. We do not worry about this because one expects the change to be small using eq.(22) and setting $d_i = 1$. The constraint equation (31) for the Yukawa couplings using eq.(19) gives

$$\Sigma_p^{n_l}(1 + n_{pi} + n_{pj} + n_{pk}) \leq -2\,\frac{ln(2 \times 10^{-4}\sqrt{Y})}{ln(\frac{\xi^3 B_0^3}{32\,Y x_g^{3/2}}\,m_{3/2})} \tag{33}$$

where the sum now is over the moduli that acquire a “large” v.e.v. For phenomenological acceptable solutions the right hand side of eq.(33) is very much restricted. In fact, the gravitino mass must be of the order of $10^2\,GeV$, $Y \simeq 2$ and $\xi, B_0$ and $x_g$ take a small range of values. As an example, we choose an $SU(6)$ gauge group in the hidden sector with $b_0 = 15/16\pi^2$ which gives a coupling constant at the unification scale of $g_{gut}^{-2} = 2.1$ and allows for an unification scale of the order of $10^{16}\,GeV$ consistent with MSSM and $m_{3/2} \simeq 147\,GeV$. Eq.(33) gives

$$\Sigma_p^{n_l}(n_{pi} + n_{pj} + n_{pk}) \leq -2 \tag{34}$$

for one “large” moduli. This last equation indicates that ordinary matter fields must have negative modular weights. Considering an overall modular weight defined by $N_i = \Sigma_p n_{ip}$ the allowed modular weights of the fields must satisfy $N_i + N_j + N_p \leq -2$. For abelian orbifolds with standard choice of Kac-Moody levels the allowed range for an overall modular weights for the standard model fields is given by $-3 \leq\ n_{Q,U,E}\ \leq 0$ and $-5 \leq\ n_{L,D,H,\bar{H}}\ \leq 1$ [13]. It is interesting to note that the permitted values of the modular weights from a fifth force consideration given in eq.(33) lie within the range of allowed values for the standard model fields and that this range is further restricted. For any particular orbifold model, the modular weights of ordinary matter must satisfy eq.(34) for those Yukawa couplings that depend on moduli with “large” v.e.v. and this restricts the possible string vacua.

To conclude, we have shown that after supersymmetry is broken the v.e.v. of the moduli can be large enough to produce a detectable fifth force. The absence of such an interaction imposes non-trivial constraints on any phenomenological acceptable string vacuum.

# References

[1] For a review of string theories, see M. Green, J. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[2] L.E. Ibáñez, J.E. Kim, H.P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B191 (1987) 282.

[3] A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, F. Quevedo and A. Sierra, Nucl.Phys. B331 (1990) 421.

[4] J.A. Casas, E.K. Katehou and C. Muñoz, Nucl.Phys. B317 (1989) 171; J.A. Casas and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B214 (1988) 63; J.A. Casas, M. Mondragon and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B230 (1989) 63.

[5] L.E. Ibáñez, H.P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B187 (1987) 25; L.E. Ibáñez, J. Mas, H.P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Nucl.Phys. B301 (1988) 157.

[6] A. Casas, A. de la Macorra, M. Mondragon and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B247 (1990) 50; Y. Katsuki, Y. Kawamura, T. Kobayashi, N. Ohtsubo, Y. Ono and K. Tanioka, Nucl.Phys. B341 (1990) 611.

[7] D.J. Gross, J.A. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, Phys. Rev. Lett.54 (1985) 502; Nucl.Phys. B256 (1985) 253; Nucl. Phys. B267 (1986) 75.

[8] P. Candelas, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nucl.Phys. B258 (1985) 46.

[9] L. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl.Phys. B329 (1990) 27

[10] V.P. Nair, A. Shapere, A. Strominger and F. Wilczek, Nucl.Phys. B287 (1987) 402; B. Sathiapalan, Phys. Rev. Lett.58 (1987) 1597; J.J. Atick and E. Witten, Nucl.Phys. B310 (1988) 291; R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, Nucl.Phys. B316 (1989) 391; A. Giveon, E. Rabinovici and G. Veneziano, Nucl.Phys. B322 (1989) 167; M. Dine, P. Huet and N. Seiberg, Nucl.Phys. B322 (1989) 301; J. Molera and B. Ovrut, Phys. Rev.40 (1989) 1146; M. Duff, Nucl.Phys. B335 (1990) 610; W. Lerche, D. Lüst and N.P. Warner, Phys. Lett. B231 (1989) 417; M. Cvetic, J. Molera and B. Ovrut, Phys. Lett. B248 (1990) 83.

[11] E. Alvarez and M.A.R. Osorio, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 1150 .

[12] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Comm. Math. Phys. 115 (1988) 649; *"On moduli spaces of conformal field theories with $c \geq 1$"*, preprint THU-87/30; A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, Nucl.Phys. B320 (1989) 669.

[13] L.E. Ibanez and D. Lust, Nucl. Phys. B382 (1992) 305.

[14] D. Bailin, A. Love and S. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B188 (1987) 193; Phys. Lett. B194 (1987) 385; B. Nilsson, P. Roberts and P. Salomonson, Phys. Lett. B222 (1989) 35; J.A. Casas and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B212 (1988) 343 J.A. Casas, F. Gomez and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B251 (1990) 99; A. Chamseddine and J.P. Derendinger, Nucl.Phys. B301 (1988) 381; A. Chamseddine and M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. B212 (1988) 343, Nucl.Phys. B316 (1989) 101; T. Burwick, R. Kaiser and H. Müller, Nucl.Phys. B355 (1991) 689;

[15] For a review see D. Amati, K. Konishi, Y. Meurice, G. Rossi and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rep 162 (1988) 169; H. P. Nilles, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5 (1990) 4199 ; J. Louis, SLAC-PUB-5645 (1991).

[16] A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, D. Lüst and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B245 (1990) 401.

[17] G.Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. 113B (1984); T.R. Taylor, Phys. Lett 164B (1985) 43 S. Ferrara, N. Magnoli, T.R. Taylor and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B245 (1990) 409; P. Binetruy and M. K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. 232B (1989); Nucl. Phys. B358 (1991) 121.

[18] A. de la Macorra and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B404 (1993) 321.

[19] A. de la Macorra and G.G. Ross, "*Supersymmetry Breaking in 4D String Theory*", (preprint OUTP-93-31P).

[20] L.E. Ibáñez, D. Lüst and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B272 (1991) 251.

[21] A. de la Macorra, "*Unification scale in string theory*" (preprint OUTP-93-33P)

[22] S. Ferrara, D. Lüst, A. Shapere and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. B225 (1989) 363.

[23] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B155 (1985) 151.

[24] L.E. Ibáñez and H.P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. B169 (1986) 354.

[25] J.P. Derendinger, L.E. Ibáñez and H.P Nilles, Nucl.Phys. B267 (1986) 365.

[26] J.P. Derendinger, L.E. Ibáñez and H.P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. B155 (1985) 65; M. Dine, R. Rohm, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B156 (1985) 55.

[27] A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, H.P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Nucl.Phys. B307 (1988) 109.

[28] L. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl.Phys. B355 (1991) 649; G. Lopes Cardoso and B. Ovrut, Nucl.Phys. B369 (1992) 351; *"Sigma Model Anomalies, Non-Harmonic Gauge and Gravitational Couplings and String Theory,"* preprint UPR-0481T (1991).

[29] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava and K.S. Narain, Nucl.Phys. B383 (1992) 93; Phys.Lett. B283 (1992) 209, I. Antoniadis, K.S. Narain and T.R. Taylor, Phys. Lett. B267 (1991) 37.

[30] V. Kaplunovsky, Nucl.Phys. B307 (1988) 145.

[31] J.P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas and F. Zwiner, Phys. Lett. 271B (1991) 307.

[32] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl.Phys. B212 (1983) 413.

[33] H.P. Nilles and M. Olechowski, Phys. Lett. B248 (1990) 268; P. Binetruy and M.K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. B253 (1991) 119; J. Louis, *"Status of Supersymmetry Breaking in String Theory"*, SLAC-PUB-5645 (1991); D. Lüst and T.R. Taylor, Phys. Lett. B253 (1991) 335; B. Carlos, J. Casas and C. Muñoz, Phys. Lett. B263 (1991) 248; S. Kalara, J. Lopez and D. Nanopoulos, *"Gauge and Matter Condensates in Realistic String Models"*, preprint CTP-TAMU-69/91.

[34] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D7 (1973) 1883.

[35] R. Barbieri and S. Cecotti, Z. Phys. C 17, (1983) 183; M. Srednicki and S. Theisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, (1985) 278; P. Binetruy, S. Dawson, M.K. Gaillard and I. Hinchliffe, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 2633.

[36] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 231; D. Gross and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 3235.

[37] J. Lauer, J. Mas and H.P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. B226 (1989) 251; Nucl.Phys. B351 (1991) 353; E.J. Chun, J. Mas, J. Lauer and H.-P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. B233 (1989) 141.

[38] S. Ferrara, D. Lüst and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. B233 (1989) 147.

[39] A. de la Macorra and G. G. Ross, "Unification Coupling and Soft Supersymmetric Terms in 4D superstring models" (preprint OUTP-93-32P)

[40] E. Fisbach et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 3; C. Stubbs et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1070; V.L. Fitch et al., Phys. Lett. 60 (1988) 801.

99